President's Corner

This is the last "President's Corner" I shall write. Within a few days there will be a new president with whom we can share the job we set out to do.

As an organization we have grown and developed more sophistication about the search for an end to the arms race. As individuals we have surely learned how to combat frustration, impatience and pessimism, or we would not be claiming to end a "successful" year and looking forward hopefully to the next. The "real world" is more perilous than it was a year ago and the only way to survive in it is to generate hope from our combined efforts to "do something about it".

Ray Kapral and John Dove provide some summaries of our structural and financial state. Science for Peace is "fitter" in both respects. The number of members who have assumed responsibility for the tasks necessary to reach this state are too numerous to mention. Sufficient to say that we have extremely capable and creative members. At least a partial record of their creativity is available in a catalogue of members' publications which appears this month.

Through these pages I have attempted to explore with you some aspects of the responsibility of scientists for the arms race and its consequent threat of the final holocaust. Of special concern to us, of course, is the disavowal of responsibility by scientists on the grounds of the imperative that science be "value free", that scientific cognition be divorced from ethical commitment. This is indeed the way the matter appears if science is conceived as consisting of so many areas of expertise and the role of the scientist as one of using his expertise to answer questions put to him by whoever has the authority to do so.

The matter appears otherwise if science is conceived as a collective enterprise dedicated to truth rooted in experience that can be shared by everyone, the results of which can improve the quality of life for all, not just some human beings.

Today the dominance of the first ethic I described allows scientists to be coopted into the service of war machines. Career opportunities mean much to young scientists whose prospects outside the weapons labs are not bright. Mature scientists with a flair for organization are seduced by the opportunities to become leaders of large scientific enterprises. The creative ones are seduced by challenging problems. All this busy-ness, and the glamour of the trappings of being with the powerful insulate the scientist from thinking about the end result of all this feverish activity. Thus, the Orwellian language of the defense community is not challenged by the scientists who benefit from being a part of it or by those compelled to work for it.

The alleged insoluble problem of "getting the genie back into the bottle" is a pseudo-problem. One need not "dissuade" the guillotine to refrain from chopping people's heads off. The oft-repeated question "What if, after nuclear disarmament, nuclear disarmament starts again?" should not block action toward disarmament. When a physician is saving the victim of an accident from bleeding to death, he need not answer the question, "What if the victim has another accident?"

In the April issue of PHYSICS AND SOCIETY is an article, "Cost of Getting the Scientists Away from Weapons Research", wherein author Vladislav Bvvc suggests, "If we are interested in getting the scientists away from weapons research and development we must find a place for them where they can work in their fields and make a decent living at par or better than what the military can offer." It's an intriguing challenge for an organization like Science for Peace.

A.R.

(The President's Corner this month is abstracted from the president's report to be presented in full at the annual general meeting.)
Treasurer's Report

During the past two years Science for Peace has witnessed a substantial growth in its membership and in the variety of activities that are supported. The increased membership has resulted in a steady growth in financial contributions to the organization as is demonstrated by the following annual private sector donations:

1983: $6,800
1984: $6,900
1985: $17,700
1986: $19,000

This has made possible the regular publication and distribution of the Newsletter for Peace Bulletins, which has become a major medium of communication among members of the organization. In addition, a variety of other activities such as peace lectures, initiation of research activities, award of science project prizes and presentation of Science for Peace views to government and other agencies, etc., have been supported.

These figures do not reflect the entire scope of funding of Science for Peace activities. In addition to direct private sector contributions, grants and individual members of Science for Peace have sought and received grants far in excess of the above figures for funding of conferences, lectures and research on peace related topics.

There are now many regional chapters throughout the country; this has necessitated a financial restructuring of the organization. A substantial portion of Science for Peace income is now transferred directly to the chapters. Consequently, chapter finances are now part of the general audit.

Newsworthy

Dianne DeMille has been named editor of the new quarterly publication of CIIFS, the very handsome Journal Peace & Security, whose Vol.1, No.1 appeared in spring, 1986. Design and production is by the Spencer Francy Group, Ottawa/Toronto. Invited articles in the first issue examined Canada's role in NORAD (David Cox), the outlook for Guatemala (Tim Draimann) and prospects for East-West symmetry (Paul Marcosz).

Write Canadian Institute for International Peace and Security, 307 Gilmour St., Ottawa K2P 0Y7. No charge.

- Luis Sobrino (BC Chapter) has made a videotape on "Star Wars" for PSR, which he will copy and mail on request if you cannot acquire it through a PSR chapter near you. Write Prof. Sobrino c/o Dept. of Physics, UBC, 6224 Agriculture Rd., Vancouver, B.C, V6T 2A6.

- From either Prof. Sobrino or from the SFP national office you can get copies of the following papers: The Cruise Missile: A Canadian Perspective; The U.S. Strategic Defense Initiative: Should Canada Participate?; CPMETR: Ethical and Moral Considerations.

(The tapes from the People's Enquiry into CPMETR held at NanOOSE Bay, B.C., should be ready this month. To order a copy, write to CPIA, Box 82 Gabriola, B.C, V0R 1X0. $10.)

- Penang, Malaysia Greetings and best wishes from us here at SAHABAT ALAM MALAYSIA! We write with reference to request for information materials to help us in our research, documentation, studies, library, etc. We at SAM would like to introduce you to our organization and its work...Its main objectives are the collection and dissemination of information pertaining to development and environment issues and investigating, reporting and making representations on environmental issues in the region.

- SAHABAT ALAM MALAYSIA 37 Lorong Birch 10251 Penang

In response to an invitation from Secretary of State for External Affairs Joe Clark to nominate candidates for appointment to the board of directors of CIIFS, the SFP board offered in nomination:

- Reappointment of incumbents Dr. Margaret Fulton, Dr. William Epstein (SFIP members), Ms. Joanna Miller and Mr. Gwynne Dyer; and

- Prof. Ursula Frankling and Dr. Don Yates (SFIP members).

- Jan Van Stolk, M.D., Edmonton, has taken up the presidency of PSF/Canada from Vancouverite Dorothy Goesky, MD, this month. SFP board member, Mary Heiberg, M.D., Toronto, continues on the board. Good luck to PSR, and we hope the closer working relationship between Science for Peace and PSF forged in the past year will continue to grow.

Connie Gardner calls our attention to the publication of Worldwatch Institute's STATE OF THE WORLD annual report by Les Brown. Before the year is out, STATE OF THE World will be printed in nine languages, find its way into 122 nations, total sales of 150,000 (3 versions in China), serve as a textbook in 170 American colleges and universities.

Brown contends that the meaning of "national security" has changed in the past few years and the superpowers were no busy building weapons they did not notice. "Global geopolitics," he writes, "is being reshaped in a way that defines security more in economic than in traditional military terms."

Available at your local bookstore for $12.95 CDN, or try your local library. (At Britnell's in Toronto.)

VISIT THE EXHIBIT AT ROBERTS LIBRARY BEFORE THE ANNUAL MEETING ON MAY 10, 2 PM. 
Secretary’s Report

As your Secretary, I shall concentrate in my report on organizational aspects of Science for Peace. It is very pleasing to be able to tell you that, while there have been no spectacular changes in Science for Peace during the past year, I believe that there has been steady progress towards our aims and in improving our effectiveness as an organization.

The holding of regular meetings of the Board during the past year has been an important change in our way of operating. It has helped to bring more people into the centre of our activities, and has provided valuable advice on the many issues which have confronted us.

Chapters

The effectiveness and activity of our Chapters is a key aspect of Science for Peace. The formation of new and strong Chapters in Ottawa and Toronto, and the promise of another in Winnipeg, is therefore important news. In Toronto, the active role being taken by the new Chapter is setting the National Office free to expend more of its energy on truly national and international issues.

Conferences

Science for Peace is sponsoring the Conference on Accidental Nuclear War in Vancouver at the University of British Columbia on May 26-30, 1986. Under the able leadership of Professor Michael Wallace, the organizing committee has put together an impressive list of speakers including Bruce Blair, Paul Bracken, Ashton Carter, Brian Crissey, Lloyd Dumas, Daniel Frei, Martin Hellman, Johan Niesz, Severo Ornstein, Anatol Rapoport, Bruce Rustett, Roger Schenk, Linn Sennott, Henry Thompson and Joseph Welzenbaum. The conference promises to make an outstanding contribution to understanding the problems and dangers of accidental nuclear war, which may be one of the greatest current threats to the world.

The Vancouver conference is being supported financially by the Canadian Institute for International Peace and Security, the Disarmament Fund of the Department of External Affairs, and to a modest extent — by Science for Peace itself. I would like to pay special tribute to the very positive attitude of the officers and staff of CIIPS and to the moral and practical support that they have given in setting up the conference.

Science for Peace is holding a much more modest meeting in Toronto on May 8, 1986, at which a group of experts on atmospheric modelling, atmospheric chemistry, combustion science, and international politics is going to discuss “Nuclear Winter and the Nuclear Deterrent”. The focus of the meeting will be an interesting and imaginative proposal by Dr. Don Bates for a “nuclear winter deterrent”, a scheme which is intended to reduce the incentive for a nuclear first strike and hence to lessen the instability inherent in any international crises involving nuclear powers. However, the meeting will also discuss recent developments in modelling the global effects of a nuclear war, and related strategic and political issues.

You will see, from the report of our President the great amount of dedicated and imaginative hard work of many talented people that is at our disposal. Our treasurer has shown you that, while we are certainly not rich and still must husband our financial resources very carefully, members are making significant sacrifices to help to keep the work going. At the same time, it is impossible not to be concerned at the enormous disparity that still exists between the needs for work towards the peaceful utilization of science and the resources at our command to meet those needs. For example, looking at the list of staff of my own University, I am constantly struck by the large number of persons who basically agree with our aims but yet have not taken the step of actually joining us and supporting our work directly. Clearly there is still a great challenge to bring in many of these people and to strengthen further our overall effectiveness.

— John Dove

(Please note an abstract of the complete report of the secretary, which will be presented in full at the AGM May 10.)

Nuclear Arms: Threat to Our World

...an exhibition first held during the UN Special Session on Disarmament in 1982 opens to the public in Toronto May 9 and continues through May 17. Presented by the UN Department of Public Information, Sponsored by the University of Toronto, the City of Toronto and Soka Gakkai International (Tokyo), On view at the John F. Roberts Research Library, 110 St. George St. Exhibit hours: Monday - Thursday, 10am - 9pm, Friday, 10am - 6pm, Saturday, 9am - 5pm, Sunday, closed.

With the cooperation of the cities of Hiroshima, Nagasaki, Yoko, Etohiko, Scarborough, North York, the Borough of East York, the UN Association, TDN, Now Zoo Cultural Foundation and Science for Peace.

Publications


Letters

Richmond, Ky, USA

“We read your Bulletin with interest each time, and especially liked the letter from Mel Watkins on the first page of the newest one. Thanks for all the effort and time you put into getting this out!”

— Connie McLanahan

Swarthmore, Penna, USA

“The Swarthmore College Peace Collection is an archival library whose purpose is to collect and preserve the records of organizations and individuals active in the peace movement on a national and international level. We are very interested in your periodical Science for Peace Bulletin.”

— Mary Ellen C. Clark

Assoc’t to the Curator

Between

We are the Maginot Line, The No Man’s Land, The Uncounted Country.

To our south the Land of Opportunity, Suffused in the Glory of Self image, Interpreting innate violence as God’s unfolding purpose.

To our north the Puritans, Clean of motive, Dedicated to wiping slates clean, To scour and flagellate, To redeem mankind in spite of itself.

Canada,
Inclined to tolerance, Trapped between idiosyncratic, Struggling to continue undestroyed, Humbled in by despots.

Murray Wilton
March 31, 1986

In memoriam, May 8 - 9, 1945
On Deterrence

EXCERPTS FROM THE AMERICAN METHODIST BISHOP' PASTORAL LETTER:

"We have concluded that nuclear deterrence is a position which cannot receive the church's blessing.

"Nuclear deterrence has become a dogmatic licit for perpetual hostility between the superpowers and for their rigid resistance to significant measures of disarmament.

"Nuclear deterrence has long been revered as the idol of national security. In its most idolatrous form it has blinded its proponents to the many-sided requirements of genuine security.

"Justice is offended by the double standard under which some nations presume nuclear weapons for themselves while denying them to others. Justice is defied by the superpowers' implication in conventional arms races and proxy wars in the third world, causing much present suffering and threatening escalation into a nuclear war."

15 April, 1986

The Honourable Brian Mulroney
Prime Minister

Dear Sir:

The United States' attack on Libya has roused grave concern among members of Science for Peace, especially in regard to the role Canada may play in the aftermath. We have attempted to report in the enclosed document some of these concerns.

John Dove, secretary
George Ignatieff, director
Anatol Rapoport, president

cc: The Hon. John Turner
The Hon. Edward Broadbent

Enclosed:
The essential features of terrorism are (1) contempt for law and for any non-violent conflict resolution (2) use of violence to demonstrate the power to kill.

The US attack on Libya has both of these features. The stated goal of checking further acts of international terror is not likely to be realized. On the contrary, further acts of senseless violence can be expected. And even if, contrary to expectations, Gaddafi and others like him are intimidated for a while, the attack on Libya amounts to condoning terrorism rather than deterring it, because the attack will, by its very "success", give encouragement to those who despise law and reason, those who use every opportunity to demonstrate the power of violence.

If contempt for the law is the mark of the terrorist, then the US has acted like a terrorist. By using unilateral threats and violence it contributes to further weakening of the United Nations and other international fora, thus destroying still-cherished hopes of instilling some measure of respect for law and reason into relations among states.

When Canada approves such adventures, the country is tainted by the image of the US as an international bully. The credibility of her expressed readiness to work for a peaceful, law-abiding world is impaired. Canada ought to assert her integrity and her friendship with the people of the United States and of Libya by refusing to condone Ronald Reagan's indulgence in reckless, provocative and dangerous outbursts of violence.

John Dove
Gloucester, Ontario

I really like the way the BULLETIN has developed. There seems to be a common trend in the recognition of the complexity of the problems which we face in surviving as a race, or rather species. It seems to be a question of brain power out of control.

The scientific approach is usually to restrict the variables in order to find the effect of one or two of them on a given system. The question facing us is to understand the overall picture and implement solutions. The Bulletin is helping.

C. Leroy Saunders

Letters

Geneva, Switzerland

I am writing to you with regard to your letter, with your colleagues John Polanyi and George Ignatieff, of March 25 to Mikhail Gorbachev of the Soviet Union in the April issue of the Science for Peace BULLETIN. This is precisely the sort of initiative which is desperately needed at this time - regionally, nationally, internationally. I would like to suggest that perhaps the work could be taken one step farther in an effort to expand awareness of public opinion; such letters be published in as many newspapers as possible. My deep concern is that people are not being reached - all of these people beyond the periphery of groups working for disarmament and peace.

Sally Curry

The Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists, Vol 42, No 3, carried an article on R&D spending in the USA. Based on the data presented (Nat'l Science Foundation Report 85-322), I calculate that since 1980, expenditure (constant dollars) for military R&D has increased by 108%, that for non-military R&D has decreased by 22%.

Even more astonishing is that the military R&D is now estimated to be 72.7% of the total.

- John Dove

Science for Peace

University College, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ont., M5S 1A1

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS:

Anatol Rapoport, president
John E. Dove, secretary
Raymond Kapral, treasurer

CHAPTER CONTACTS:

Science for Peace New Brunswick
Prof. G.P. Semeluk, Dept. of Chemistry, UNB, Bag Station #4272, Fredericton, N.B.
E3B 6E2

Science et Paix Quebec
Prof. Philip Ehrensart, Dept. de sociologie, Univ. de Quebec à Montreal, C.P. 8888, Succ. A, Montreal, Que. H3C 3P8
(514)282-6413

Science for Peace Ottawa
Dr. A.B. Mingarelli, Dept. of Mathematics, U of Ottawa, Ottawa KIN 9B4, (613)231-5882

Science for Peace Toronto
Prof. John Buttrick (York U)
Univ. College, U. of Toronto, Toronto M5S 1A1 (416)667-6278

Science for Peace Waterloo
Prof. David Roulston, Dept. of Elec. Eng., U. of Waterloo, Water-
loo, Ont. N2L 3G1 (519)888-4060

B.C. Chapter Science for Peace
Dr. Colin Bell, Dept. of Micro-
biology, UBC, Vancouver, B.C.
V6T 1W5 (604)228-3308

THE CONFERENCE ON THE DANGER OF ACCIDENTAL NUCLEAR WAR at the University of British Columbia Vancouver, B.C.

May 26-30

Information and Registration: UBC Centre for Continuing Education, 5997 Iona Drive, Vancouver V6T 2A4.
Telephone: (604)222-5237.